

BOURNEMOUTH, CHRISTCHURCH AND POOLE COUNCIL
EASTERN BCP PLANNING COMMITTEE

Minutes of the Meeting held on 18 December 2025 at 10.00 am

Present:-

Cllr P Hilliard – Chair

Cllr M Le Poidevin – Vice-Chair

Present: Cllr P Canavan, Cllr D A Flagg, Cllr M Gillett, Cllr Dr F Rice, Cllr J Salmon, Cllr T Slade and Cllr M Tarling

72. Apologies

Apologies were received from Cllr J Clements.

73. Substitute Members

There were none.

74. Declarations of Interests

Cllr J Salmon reported that he was the applicant for Agenda Item 6d. As this was a disclosable pecuniary interest, he did not participate in this item and left the meeting room at the conclusion of the preceding application.

75. Confirmation of Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held on 20 November 2025 were confirmed as an accurate record and signed by the Chair.

76. Public Issues

There were a number of requests to speak on planning applications as detailed below.

77. Schedule of Planning Applications

The Committee considered four planning application reports, copies of which had been circulated and which appear as Appendix A and D to these minutes in the Minute Book. A Committee Addendum Sheet was published on 17 December 2025 and appears as Appendix E to these minutes

78. Purbeck Court, 65-67 Boscombe Overcliff Drive, Bournemouth BH5 2EN

Boscombe East and Pokesdown ward

7-2024-3914-D

Outline application with some matters reserved for demolition of the existing building of flats and garages and erection of a 6 storey building consisting of 17 x 2-bed flats and 5 x 3-bed flats with underground parking, swimming pool and gym spa complex, associated bin and cycle storage and the erection of a detached dwelling fronting Rotherfield Road with associated access and parking.

Public Representations

Objectors

- ❖ Karina Digby-Jones (on behalf of herself, Tim Jones, Ian Corica, Andrew Holdsworth, Jon Dean, Paul Bentley, Jackie Bentley, Douglas Wright and Mhairi Wright, of 'Aquarius', 63 Boscombe Overcliff Drive)

Applicant/Supporters

- ❖ Matt Annen, on behalf of the applicant

Ward Councillors

- ❖ None registered

RESOLVED to GRANT permission in accordance with the recommendation set out in the officer's report

Voting: For – 6, Against – 1, Abstain – 2

79. Silverways, Silver Way, Christchurch BH23 4LJ

Highcliffe and Walkford ward

8/25/0059/FUL

Extension to existing nursing home

Public Representations

Objectors

- ❖ None registered

Applicant/Supporters

- ❖ Doug Furnish, the applicant
- ❖ Giles Moir, on behalf of the applicant

Ward Councillors

- ❖ None registered

RESOLVED to GRANT permission in accordance with the recommendation set out in the officer's report

Voting: For – 8, Against – 0, Abstain – 1

80. 3 Nursery Road Bournemouth BH9 3AS

Moordown ward

P/25/01133/FUL

Proposed 1No Two Storey Dwellinghouse

Public Representations

Objectors

- ❖ None registered

Applicant/Supporters

- ❖ None registered

Ward Councillors

- ❖ Cllr K Salmon (to explain that although she was constitutionally unable to withdraw her call in at this stage the issues raised on behalf of the local resident had now been successfully addressed)

RESOLVED to GRANT permission in accordance with the recommendation set out in the officer's report, as updated in the Committee Addendum dated 17.12.25

Voting: Unanimous

Note: In accordance with Cllr J Salmon's declaration of interest, Cllr J Salmon and Cllr K Salmon both left the meeting at the end of this item.

81. 6 Ripon Road, Bournemouth BH9 1RD

Winton East ward

P/25/04115/HOU

Demolition of garage and rear single storey lean-to and construction of a single storey rear extension

Public Representations

No speakers registered

RESOLVED to GRANT permission in accordance with the recommendation set out in the officer's report

Voting: Unanimous

82. Appeals report

The Committee considered a joint report from the Development Management Managers, a copy of which had been circulated and which appears as Appendix F to these minutes in the Minute Book

The report was for noting and provided an update on the Local Planning Authority's appeals performance over the stated period. The Committee was advised that the Local Planning Authority was performing within target. The report also provided a short summary of why two appeals in the month of June had been allowed.

The Development Management Manager responded to a question about the costs associated with the appeals process. He explained that for most appeals the costs related to officer time. It was noted that the Planning Inspectorate had recently taken steps to make the process more straightforward and less onerous for all. Public inquiries were generally more costly. The process followed when an application for costs was made was also explained.

Members agreed that the Planning Team should be commended for their efforts in reducing the percentage of appeals allowed and performing within target.

The meeting ended at 11.55 am

CHAIR